Creating a measure for immunity won't happen overnight, but getting phenotypes collected, via blood samples, is an important first step, says Stephen Miller, director of genetic research for Angus Genetics Inc.

## Breeding for Immunity

## Proactive animal health means a genetic approach.

by Miranda Reiman, Certified Angus Beef LLC

ntibiotics are effective tools in managing animal health, but they've also been a patch, serving until the advent of genetic tools to solve challenges in the long term.

"We've had increasing scrutiny around the use of antibiotics, so we need to be ready," said Brad Hine, research scientist for Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO). "Our ability to use antibiotics in our food-producing animals is, in the next few years, going to be rapidly reduced. A really good strategy is to try to breed animals that have improved disease resistance."

In others words, create cattle that don't get sick. What may sound like a far-off wish is quickly becoming reality.

At the 2019 Angus Convention in Reno, Nev., last fall, Hine shared insight into current work his team

is doing with the Australian Angus Association. He also talked of upcoming collaboration with Angus Genetics Inc. (AGI).

"As we continue to refine genetic selection, we realize that genetics contribute to animal health in ways we probably don't fully understand today," said Mark McCully, CEO of the American Angus Association. "As we start identifying genetic lines of cattle that are less likely

to get sick, that has ramifications across the entire industry."

It matters at every point in the production chain

and affects economics, animal welfare and consumer perception.

"It's easy to make the assumption that the most productive animal is the animal with the best immune system," Hine said. "Obviously, the healthiest animal grew the fastest."

However, that's just not true, he said, and in some instances, disease resistance is negatively correlated with production. For example, high-milking Holstein cows are often more at risk for mastitis, he noted.

"The research tells us if we select for productivity alone, we increase

"The research tells us if we select for productivity alone, we increase susceptibility to disease." — Brad Hine susceptibility to disease," Hine said. "It's really important for producers to rethink that."

Australians have used a strategy developed for the Canadian dairy industry and applied it to Angus cattle.

This broad-based approach is a new twist compared to historical health work, where cattle have been bred for brucellosis resistance while sheep were bred to ward off internal parasites.

"We've been very cautious not to tailor this to any specific disease, because we might know one disease, but there's another one right around the corner," Hine said.

Different types of pathogens are dealt with in different ways: There's a cellular response for viruses that live inside the cells and antibodies that fight those outside the cells.

"There are two different arms in the immune system," he said. "The risk you run if you select animals that are very good at one arm of

## BREEDING FOR IMMUNITY continued from page 20

the immune system is that sometimes those animals are not as good at handling pathogens that require the opposite arm."

They test for both.

Hine's team vaccinated cattle just before weaning them into the yard, and then took blood tests to measure their response at the most stressful point.

"It's about breeding animals with a really strong immune system so they can handle whatever challenges they face," he said. "It is not necessarily the animals that can respond when they are happy and healthy in the paddy (pasture) that we are trying to identify. It is those animals that can respond to a disease challenge when they are under some stress, and are able to cope with that situation and return to being productive."

February 2020 **R** Angus Beef Bulletin

The early work shows the variability is "enormous," Hine said, and the heritability appears to be moderate. Correlations to other traits were weak, but followed as expected: temperament was favorable, production traits like growth were negative. He said that's good news,

because it means health can become a priority in selection without compromising other " goals.

Following indexed animals through the feedyard was a chance to see if the research worked in a real-world scenario.

For every animal that scored high for immunity, there was a \$3.50 animal-health cost. Those in the low group accrued \$103, Hine said, noting those are conservative estimates that don't account for labor.

"If we can identify low-immunecompetent animals and get them out of the system, there is a huge economic benefit for us as an industry," he said.

The poorer immunity group

accounted for only 11% of the total population, but represented 35% of the health line items.

"As tools are developed, I think the adoption rate will be pretty significant in terms of both pace and scale," McCully said. "A slight change in the improvement of animal health has huge economic ramification across the industry."

The technology is "in its infancy," he said, but the long-term goal would be the creation of genetic tools, both for Angus breeders and their commercial customers, such as genomic tests for replacement heifers or to prescreen cattle bound for the feedyard.

"I could definitely see this as a way of being better able to characterize risk," McCully said. "You could modify your management to the risk level."

Today, cattle often receive metaphylaxis — or whole-herd treatment — upon processing into the feedyard. Though studies show for every 100 that get preventative antibiotics, only 20 actually needed them, said John Richeson, West Texas A&M animal scientist. He spoke about innovations in health during the 2019 Feeding Quality Forum.

"We can breed the animals that are the most disease-resistant, but if we put them in a really bad, high-disease environment, then they will eventually succumb." — Brad Hine

So, how do cattlemen identify that bottom fifth?

-+--

Researchers are developing everything from rapid blood tests to behavior-monitoring instruments, but they still need fine-tuning.

"We need it to be, ideally, at the speed of commerce so we don't slow down processing," Richeson said. "The challenge is, how can we target accurately, quickly, and those sorts of things? There could

## Angus is on it

In a world where breeders can place genetic selection pressure on everything from fertility and growth to end-product merit, health "is kind of the missing link at the moment," says Stephen Miller, director of genetic research, Angus Genetics Inc. (AGI). "We've done a great job of selecting for productivity, but that actually causes animals to potentially be more susceptible to disease."

The American Angus Association, working with scientists from Canada to Australia, is hoping to change that.

This year, 3,000 head of U.S. cattle will take three trips through the chute — one to receive a vaccine and two for collection of separate blood tests to gauge response to the vaccination.

"It's a way for us to measure healthfulness, or an animal's ability to respond with antibody production," Miller says, that will help them identify DNA markers for immunity. "The goal down the road is that we would have a genomic EPD (expected progeny difference) for immune response, based on these phenotypes."

Canadian researchers will add data from another 1,000 head to the knowledge base, and the Australians have already measured immune response of 4,500 head.

"We're interested in putting these data sets together in the future and basically have a much bigger data set." Miller says. "They're not really a separate population, genetically. Geographically they are, but there's a lot of material that changes back and forth."

The methodology and ability to test for immunity isn't new, "but genomics is really the way we can deliver it," he says. "It's too hard of a phenotype to do on every breeding animal, like you might do with ultrasound for carcass. Genomics has been a game changer in that way."

be a huge cost savings to the producer."

Most of the work is focused on cattle chuteside at the feedyard. With a genetic test for improved

immunity in

commercial cattle, that
information could be
communicated with the
yard upon arrival,
then
Hine
McCully said. Feedyard
protocols could differ
based on this
information, and
eventually, market
signals should follow.

"If I'm a feeder, I'm still going to want those cattle vaccinated. It doesn't change anything about good calf management we do today," McCully said. "But if I can look at a set of cattle that has all of that, plus the genetics that give them the likelihood of staying healthier, that becomes an economic signal back to the producer to make more of those cattle." Programs like AngusLink<sup>™</sup> could potentially convey information through the chain.

"I really do see immune competence as just one part of the puzzle when we start to think about the resilience of the animal," Hine said.

Cattlemen still need a focus on management and environments that control pathogens, giving cattle less exposure in the first place.

"We can breed the animals that are the most disease-resistant, but if we put them in a really bad, high-disease environment, then they will eventually succumb," Hine said.

Even with improved tools, cattle will still get sick, although hopefully less often. "We need to be proactive rather than reactive," Hine says.

Editor's note: Miranda Reiman is director of producer communications for Certified Angus Beef LLC. This article was originally presented in the February *Angus Journal*.