Feed restriction may lower the costs of developing replacement heifers and extend their average lifespan.

Re-think Heifer Development

ARS research supports selecting heifers that can reproduce under feed restriction rather than feeding for maximum reproductive rate.



Story by SHARON DURHAM, Agricultural Research Service information staff

U.S. consumers love beef. We eat an average of about 63 pounds (lb.) of it per person each year. Producing enough cattle to meet that demand requires efficiency and innovation. Agricultural Research Service (ARS) scientists at the Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory (LARRL) in Miles City, Mont., are conducting studies designed to make cattle production more efficient and to provide better beef products for consumers.

Attaining those goals has led to strategies and technologies for reducing the cost of beef production, including more efficient nutrient use and improved reproductive performance.

Reducing production costs hinges on maintaining high rates of reproductive success while reducing use of harvested feeds. A common problem that U.S. cow-calf producers face is low rebreeding performance among 2- and 3-yearold cows. This occurs when the cows' needs for additional nutrients during pregnancy and lactation have not been met. But rather than just feed young cows more, the LARRL scientists are attempting to make them more efficient so they'll need less feed.

Reducing costs: feed and reproduction

Animal feed is a large part of beef producers' costs. Cereal grains — often used as a major part of heifer (young female cattle) diets — are becoming less abundant and more expensive because they are in higher demand for human food and ethanol production. Feed represents about 50%-55% of total costs of developing replacement heifers.

According to Animal Scientist Andrew Roberts and colleagues, heifers they studied developed to target weights lower than those traditionally recommended, consumed 27% less feed over the winter months, and gained weight more efficiently throughout the postweaning period and subsequent grazing season.

"The strategy of providing less feed may reduce costs of developing each replacement heifer by more than \$31 and extend their life span, with important ramifications for lifetime efficiency and profitability," says Roberts.

"For the last three to four decades, the mantra has been 'feed them to breed them,' which means providing enough feed during the first year to ensure that young heifers reach puberty to start reproducing," he says. "But our studies indicate this doesn't seem to be optimal in the long run. Our research shows that by feeding to get all the animals bred, you are also propping up the inefficient animals — those that won't consistently produce calves when put in nutrient-limited environments later in life."

In their study, heifers (50% Red Angus, 25% Charolais, and 25% Tarentaise) were divided into two lifetime treatment groups. The control group was fed according to industry guidelines, and the restricted group was fed (on a body-weight basis) 80% of feed consumed by their control counterparts for 140 days, ending when they were 1 year old. The restricted heifers grew slower and weighed less at any point in time as a consequence of less feed. The actual amount of feed provided to restricted heifers over the entire

February 2011 / **ANGUS BEEF BULLETIN •** 37

Roberts.

feeding period was about 73% of that provided to the controls.

Final pregnancy rates were 87% for restricted heifers and 91% for the controls.

"Our results indicate that restricting feed is a matter of economics for farmers," says LARRL Geneticist Michael MacNeil. "We have also found that other strategies, such as crossbreeding and providing early calving assistance, can increase rebreeding performance of young cows."

Feed restriction improves efficiency

From breeding through late fall, the heifers were managed as one group. Each winter, the pregnant animals were again separated into two groups — restricted feed and control. The restricted cows were fed 20% less supplemental feed during the winter months than the controls. The scientists predicted that these treatments would allow nature to decide which heifers were reproductively efficient. Less efficient heifers would eventually fail to reproduce and be culled if restricted, whereas feeding more would keep them in production but result in more expense for the producer.

"Early elimination of inefficient breeders allows them to be harvested for the high-quality meat market," says Roberts.

Roberts and colleagues also found that restricting the cows at a young age might improve their efficiency throughout the rest of their life.

The restricted-feed study has been ongoing since the winter of 2001, and the researchers are now looking at the second generation — those that were born from cows on restricted diets.

"An interesting thing occurred," says Roberts. "The feed restriction seems to have made the second generation able to withstand restriction with greater efficiency."



At Miles City, Mont., Animal Scientist Andy Roberts identifies a calf in a study to reduce beef production cost prior to weaning.

In cattle, maximum production (measured by weight of calf at weaning) doesn't peak until 5 years of age. In the study, the proportion of cows that became pregnant each year and stayed in the herd until age 5 was greatest for restricted cows out of restricted dams. Restricted cows from control-fed dams had the lowest rate of survival to age 5.

The researchers found that the third-

generation feed-restricted calves are lighter at birth and at weaning than those calves from cows fed at the industry standard, but the feed-restricted cows themselves are slightly fatter and heavier at the calves' weaning.

"Physiologically, the second-generation restricted cow is conserving some of the nutrients taken in for body reserves, which may result in more efficient reproduction Editor's Note: This research is part of Food Animal Production, an ARS national program (#101) described at www.nps.ars.usda.gov. The article is adapted from "Beef Cattle: Improving

and better survivability in the herd," says

(#101) described at www.nps.ars.usda.gov. The article is adapted from "Beef Cattle: Improving Production Efficiency and Meat Quality," which was published in the January 2011 issue of Agricultural Research magazine.