

ANGUS

BEEF BULLETIN®

"The Commercial Cattleman's Angus Connection"

Volume 33, Number 1 • January 2015



Brought to you by the

Angus Journal®

3201 Frederick Ave.; Saint Joseph, Mo. 64506

Replacement Rationales

Heifer selection and development for the long haul.



PHOTO BY SHAUNA ROSE HERMEL

by **TROY SMITH,**
field editor

It looks like more heifers will be bred this year. Reduced cow slaughter and declining heifer placement in feedlots suggest that expansion of the U.S. beef cow herd is under way. During his late-2014 speaking engagements, Livestock Marketing Information Center (LMIC) economist Jim Robb repeatedly predicted a very modest rate of expansion. Barring a return to widespread drought, Robb said he expects cow-calf producers to continue retaining heifers for breeding. However, he looks for the national tally of breeding females to increase by about 1% during each of the next few years. For most producers, the rate of expansion will be limited by its high cost.

"Potential returns for the cow-calf business are record-high, but the capital requirements have never been higher," explained Robb at the recent State of Beef Conference in North Platte, Neb. "All inputs, except for fuel, could and probably will go higher still, and while interest rates have been low, that's likely to change, too."

Robb's prediction isn't meant to dampen anyone's enthusiasm for heifer

retention, but it emphasizes the importance of implementing a cost-effective process for selecting and developing heifers. Depending on development costs and the value of the calves she produces, a breeding female may not pay for herself until she has raised three or four calves. So, heifers with the most profit potential are those having potential for staying in the breeding herd for a long time.

With longevity and long-term improvement of reproductive performance of the cow herd in mind, we asked some reputable producers about their methods of heifer selection and development. Included were Cusseta, Ala., cattleman Jim Collins and Yuma, Colo., rancher Ryan Noble, who retain heifers from within their

"As we rebuild the nation's cow herd, we need to improve its overall reproductive performance."

— Rick Funston

respective commercial-Angus herds. In contrast, Kent Hubbert buys heifer calves from which he chooses replacements for his Odessa, Neb., operation. All three of these producers manage their replacement-heifer enterprises with an eye on long-term profitability.

Raising females for the Southeast

Headquartered in east-central Alabama, Jim Collins and his family have marketed bred heifers throughout the Southeast for more than 20 years. Collins says raising replacement-quality females starts with sire selection. Cows are bred by natural service, using closely related bulls that have been DNA-tested with the high-density (HD) 50K genomic test. Genomically enhanced expected progeny differences (GE-EPDs) offer improved predictability that helps Collins better match bulls with various groups of cows.

"We're looking for a targeted balance of maternal, growth and carcass traits," explains Collins, noting how bulls are assigned to breeding pastures in an effort to complement female bloodlines and the cows' recorded performance.

(Continued on page 2)

Features & News

State of the Angus Business	20
An Angus Homecoming	22
Angus Foundation Supporters Light the Way	26
Leading the Business Breed	28
Practical Tips on Succession Planning	34
Set Employees up for Success	34
Opportunity is Everywhere	36
GE-EPDs are Best Measure	40
Solid Footing	44
Bale Grazing: A Treatment for 'Barnyard Blues'	48
Rural Matters	52
BMPs to Reach CAB® Target	53
Increase Genetic, Reproductive Returns	54
Trichomoniasis: Regulation and Importance	55
Extra Feed Needed in Cold Weather	56
Grazing for Soil Health	58
More Forage Sorghum Grown	60
Healthy and Happy	64
CAB Carcass Weights Edge Higher	78
Seeking Top Dollar	81
Big Goals Require Big Plans	82
Steadfast Demand, Sales Growth for CAB	84
Making Genetic Selections to Hit CAB Mark	86
An Addiction That Pays	90
Mama Matters	96
North American Female Situation	108
A Portrait for the Future	110
Cattle Industry Convention Preview	116
Tell Your Story, and Tell it Often	121
Cattlemen's Boot Camp	126

Column Links

• Movin' Forward	6
• Association Link	10
• AngusSource®	16
• Ridin' Herd	66
• Veterinary Link	68
• Beef Talk	70
• Certified Angus Beef LLC	76
• Market Advisor	100
• Reader Survey	105
• Industry Link	112
• New Products	122
• Angus Sales Link	130
• Outside the Box	140
• Advertiser Index	142

Staff

• Angus Journal	2
• American Angus Association	6
• Regional Managers	74
• Certified Angus Beef LLC	76

Services

• National Junior Angus Association	69
• Angus Beef Bulletin EXTRA	71
• American Angus Association membership form	139
• Angus Journal subscription	141

Visit **Angus Journal's Virtual Library** at
www.api-virtuallibrary.com

Replacement Rationales *(from cover)*

Evaluation of heifer calves starts early with monitoring of growth. Weights are taken at first processing and again at weaning. Eliminated from consideration are any poor-doing heifers, along with any that don't measure up structurally, and those with questionable temperament.

"Our goal is to retain heifers of moderate frame (Frame Score 5) with good feet and legs. They need to be deep-ribbed to have the volume and capacity to thrive on forage," says Collins, who also prefers to retain daughters of older cows, provided the heifers meet other selection criteria.

"Those older cows have raised a calf every year, even when conditions were harsh, so they are adapted to the environment," he explains.

After weaning, replacement-heifer candidates are sorted into management groups by age and size. Targeting a breeding weight of approximately 60% of expected mature weight, heifers are developed on grazed forage primarily, including Bermuda grass, ryegrass and fescue pastures. Heifers are supplemented as necessary with byproduct feeds such as soy hulls, corn gluten, peanut skins, candy byproduct, cottonseed and cotton gin trash. The choice of byproduct is driven by price and availability.

For years, all Collins heifers received a reproductive tract examination. Over time, fewer and fewer heifers were eliminated on the basis of unsatisfactory reproductive tract scores. In recent years, only a cross-section of heifers are examined and scored.

Following their first breeding season, heifers are evaluated for pregnancy by ultrasound. Heifers that will go into the Collins herd are chosen from those that conceived early — within the first 20-25 days of the breeding season. Fertility, as indicated by early conception, is a key factor in identifying the "keepers." When heifers are preg-checked, the gender of embryos also is determined. Provided other criteria are met, Collins prefers to keep heifers carrying female calves.

The GeneMax® Focus™ genomic test was applied to Collins heifers for two years and, in 2014, GeneMax Advantage™ was used. Test results assign scores and rank heifers on the basis of three multi-trait economic indexes:

1. Cow Advantage scores represent maternal traits and rank females for net return, from heifer development through weaning of progeny.
2. Feeder Advantage scores represent the genetics tested females transmit to their calves for net return from growth and feed efficiency in the feedlot, as well as from carcass merit.
3. Total Advantage scores represent a combination of Cow Advantage and Feeder Advantage scores.

Collins says DNA testing complements the operation's female-focused selection practices and other selection tools used, including the Reputation Feeder Cattle Genetic Scorecard developed by Verified Beef LLC. Collectively, says Collins, these tools allow for more confident predictions of which heifers have the most brood-cow potential, and will be most likely to transmit important production traits to future offspring.

Finding the right ones to spend money to develop

Noble Ranch, in eastern Colorado, is also testing females with GeneMax Advantage. Testing was recently

completed for bred heifers born in 2013 and the 2014 heifer calves. Fourth-generation rancher Ryan Noble relies on EPDs to aid sire selection and says he sees DNA testing of commercial heifers as an aid to female selection.

"Never before has keeping a replacement heifer been such a large investment in capital. If we are going to spend the money on a heifer, let's spend the money on the right ones. We feel the (GeneMax) program will help us to stack the deck with high-indexing females and take more of the guesswork out of keeping replacements," explains Noble.

"If you start with a weaned heifer calf this fall, it will be 2017 before you get carcass or performance data back from her first progeny. I think that spending a few dollars right now to weed out the underperforming heifer is a whole lot better than waiting a thousand days to see how her offspring perform."

Noble Ranch manages some 700 cows that are expected to harvest their own forage, from native range in the summer to crop residues in the winter. Typically, the cows graze for more than 350 days of each year. Heifers retained as replacement candidates must represent a balance of economically important traits, and they are scrutinized hard for soundness and disposition. Ultimately, though, heifers must demonstrate early that they will fit within the Noble production system.

"We don't cut the heifers any slack. We keep the oldest heifers — more than we really need — and really challenge them," Noble explains. "We run them on cornstalks, with supplemental protein plus a vitamin/mineral supplement, along with our coming 2-year-old cows that have just weaned their first calves. That way, those young cows don't have to compete with the older cows, and they settle the yearling heifers and teach them how to graze stalks."

Noble says the heifers don't gain much more than ¾ pound (lb.) per day during a 120-day period on cornstalks. Compensatory gain comes after that and on through breeding time, as heifers are fed a high-roughage ration containing an ionophore. The goal, says Noble, is to have heifers on a plane of nutrition that produces weight gain without making the heifers fat. He advises careful attention to balanced vitamin and mineral supplementation.

Noble also operates a custom artificial insemination (AI) business, and breeds close to a thousand outside females annually. After quite a few years of custom AI work, he's seen the products of a wide variety of heifer-development programs.

"I've seen heifers ranging from very thin to feedyard fat, and I've come to understand that having heifers gaining weight is what's important. Even if they're pretty green, as long as they are gaining, you'll usually be successful in getting a good percentage of heifers bred," explains Noble.

Noble admits that he didn't always develop replacements this way. He once thought it necessary to feed yearling heifers more aggressively to get the maximum number of them bred. However, too many failed to rebreed.

"When you rough them through as yearlings, you'll find the fertile females that fit the environment. You'll end up with some opens, but they're still worth a lot as

"Never before has keeping a replacement heifer been such a large investment in capital. If we are going to spend the money on a heifer, let's spend the money on the right ones."

— Ryan Noble

"Those older cows have raised a calf every year, even when conditions were harsh, so they are adapted to the environment," says Jim Collins, who prefers retaining daughters of those cows as replacements.

(Continued on page 4)

Founded March 1985

ANGUS BEEF BULLETIN

"The Commercial Cattleman's Angus Connection"

Produced and published five times per year by Angus Productions Inc. in cooperation with the American Angus Association and Certified Angus Beef LLC.

3201 Frederick Ave. • Saint Joseph, MO 64506-2997
phone: 816-383-5200 • fax: 816-233-6575
office hours: (M-F) 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. (Central time)
web site: www.angusbeefbulletin.com

Staff are listed by name, phone extension and email prefix. All direct phone numbers are "816-383-5..."; all email addresses are "...@angusjournal.com"

General manager — Eric Grant, 118, egrant

Editorial Department

Editor — Shauna Rose Hermel, 270, shermel;
Associate editor — Kasey Brown, 277, kbrown;
Assistant editor — Linda Robbins, 245, lrobbins;
Artists — Craig Simmons & Mary Black

Field editors — Barb Baylor Anderson, 305 Valley View Dr., Edwardsville, IL 62025, 618-656-0870, anderagcom@sbcglobal.net; Kindra Gordon, 11734 Weisman Rd., Whitewood, SD 57793, 605-722-7699, kindras@gordonresources.com; Becky Mills, 185 Lovett Farms Rd., Cuthbert, GA 39840, 229-938-1698, beckymills81@yahoo.com; Paige Nelson, 4112 E. 550 N, Rigby, ID 83442, 208-317-3095, paigenelson7236@gmail.com; & Troy Smith, 44431 Sargent River Rd., Sargent, NE 68874, 308-527-3483; wordsmith@nctc.net

Publications and Production Department

Manager — LaVera Spire, 220, lspire

Advertising Department

Vice president of sales, Terry Cotton, 214, tcotton; Advertising coordinators — Doneta Brown, 232, dbrown; Karri Mildenerger, 289, kmildenerger; Production coordinator — Carol Beckett, 200, 226, cbeckett; Advertising artists — Mike Bush & Monica Ford; Advertising Proofreader coordinator — Jacque McGinness

Circulation & billing manager

— LaVera Spire, 220, lspire

Special Services Department

Coordinator — Sharon Mayes, 221, smayes; Assistants — Vickie Whitsell & Julie Martinez; Artists — Susan Bomar & Leann Schleicher; Proofreader — Melinda Cordell

Web Services Department

Manager — Ethan Tierney
Coordinators — Doneta Brown, 232, dbrown; & Karri Mildenerger, 289, kmildenerger; Developer — Andy Blumer

The Angus Report, I Am Angus, Communications & Public Relations

— Crystal Albers, 134, calbers; Jena McRell, 193, jmcrell; Lea Ann Maudlin, 155, lmaudlin; Amber Wahlgren, 159, awahlgren; Donald Korthanke, 114, dkorthanke; Josh Comminellis, 113, jcomminellis

Creative Media — Becky Weishaar, 219, bweishaar; Carrie Heitman, 154, cheitman

Photo Department — Coordinator — Kathrin Gresham; Assistant — Erin Sherwood

Network systems coordinator — Bruce Buntin

Angus Productions Inc. Board of Directors

Chairman — Jim Sitz
Vice chairman — Bryce Schumann
President — Eric Grant
Secretary/Treasurer — Richard Wilson
Directors — Scott Foster; John Elbert Harrell; Phil Howell; Vaughn Meyer; Dave Nichols; and John Pfeiffer



Livestock
Publications
Council

Replacement Rationales *(from page 2)*

feeder heifers. I'd much rather have those fall out early than after we've invested more money in them."

Purchasing maternal genetics

Some commercial cow-calf producers prefer to invest their money in ready-made replacement females. Their resources might not be well-suited to retaining and making cows of home-grown heifers, so buying bred heifers or bred cows is a viable alternative. Some producers, like central-Nebraska's Kent Hubbert, purchase females in order to maintain a herd of maternal-type cows that can be bred to high-growth bulls to produce only terminal calves.

Along with buying heifer calves from which to choose replacements for his own 500-head cow herd, Hubbert develops and breeds heifers for resale. Typically purchased at auctions in western South Dakota and western Nebraska, Hubbert and a trusted order buyer seek large drafts of heifers consigned by reputable, forage-based cow outfits.

While he is picky about type and quality, not all heifers come with a complete and detailed history of genetics and previous management. There is some variation in size, so heifers are sorted into management groups. All are scrutinized for pelvic size and their reproductive tracts are examined and scored. Heifers that pass muster are then tested by a no-frills development program utilizing rations built around the same kinds of feedstuffs

that comprise winter diets for mature cows.

"The heifers are drylotted the whole time and fed low-quality roughage — mostly (harvested) cornstalks — plus wet distillers' grains. They get no grain. That way, the heifers most likely to become productive cows rise to the top," says Hubbert.

Heifers are synchronized and bred by AI for February and April calving periods. Heifers that failed to conceive after the first insemination are identified when ultrasound examinations are performed 30-45 days afterward.

"Those heifers get another round of AI, and most will end up bred for an April calving period," Hubbert explains. "We give them a second chance to breed by AI, but I think any found open after that just shouldn't be cows. They aren't adaptable and probably wouldn't stay in the herd long-term anyway."

Need predictors of reproduction

Over the past several years, Rick Funston has appeared at numerous conferences to talk about heifer development. This publication and numerous others have shared the University of Nebraska reproductive physiologist's opinions and the research data on which they are based. Funston has repeatedly lamented the fact that while cow-calf producers have multiple tools for genetic selection, few point the way to females likely to exhibit high

Kent Hubbert tests purchased heifers by developing them on a no-frills program utilizing rations built around the same kinds of feedstuffs that comprise winter diets for mature cows.

levels of reproductive performance.

"From the standpoint of reproduction," states Funston, "the tools don't tell us very well which heifers to keep."

Funston has criticized heifer-development systems that chase high pregnancy rates, but result in overfed heifers. It's expensive, and may actually set heifers up to fail later. Funston says overdevelopment may contribute to situations where a female must reach a certain degree of fatness in order to become pregnant. He says he can't see the logic in growing breeding heifers on diets containing large amounts of grain and then expecting them to spend the rest of their lives foraging.

Funston advocates development systems utilizing feedstuffs similar to what replacement females will consume after entering the cow herd. He favors systems that meet all of the heifers' nutritional

needs, but offer nothing extra. Challenging heifers during development makes them earn their way into the breeding herd, but Funston believes those heifers are most apt to remain there longer.

To select for longevity, Funston also recommends choosing replacement candidates from among heifers born early in the calving season. It's an indication of their dams' fertility and the heifers' potential fertility. Then, recommends Funston, retain the heifers that also conceive early in their first breeding season.

"Research has indicated that heifers having their first calf earlier in the calving season remained in the herd longer compared with heifers that calved later in the calving season," says Funston, noting that these heifers are more likely to breed back early and continue to deliver calves at the front end of subsequent calving seasons. Cows that consistently calve early also wean more pounds of calf during their productive lives.

"Therefore, heifers calving earlier in the calving season have greater potential for longevity and lifetime productivity," adds Funston. "That's important. As we rebuild the nation's cow herd, we need to improve its overall reproductive performance."



Editor's Note: *Troy Smith is a cattleman and freelance writer from Sargent, Neb.*