

Thriving in the South

ARS scientists search for better forage grasses to suit the Southern Plains.

Story by
LUIS PONS

In what's already been a fruitful pursuit, Agricultural Research Service (ARS)

scientists are looking near and far in studies aimed at breeding forage grasses that can thrive in the Southern Great Plains.

So far, rangeland scientist Phillip Sims, agronomist Tim Springer and

plant geneticist Jason Goldman at ARS's Southern Plains Range Research Station in Woodward, Okla., have released two important new grass varieties, developed a new molecular-based way to screen eastern

gama grass that may help boost its seed production, and started an evaluation nursery for crosses featuring Texas bluegrass.

"Our goal is to develop grasses, both warm-season and cool-season, that'll let the region's livestock graze on nutritious forage year-round," says Sims, who is the station's research leader. "We want to increase seed and forage production, persistence, and quality within grazing systems."

A key goal of this work is production of forage grasses that can live long on highly erodible lands and complement native rangeland. "We need efficient grazing methods that help maintain or improve plant, soil, water, wildlife and aesthetic resources," Sims says.


Factors that hamper Southern Plains livestock production include low forage quality from late summer through winter, dry weather, weeds and overuse of land. Overcoming them has proven to be a tough task.

"We've tested just about every cool-season grass from around the world here," Sims says. "Many of them have generally done well, except for one thing: They can't survive the area's extended dry periods."

Insects and diseases are also major challenges. "This is especially true with eastern gama grass, which is susceptible to threats common to maize," Springer says.

The current Woodward program revolves around two warm-season grass species — eastern gama grass (*Tripsacum dactyloides*) and sand bluestem (*Andropogon hallii*) — and a cool-season variety, Texas bluegrass (*Poa arachnifera*). All three are native to the Southern

In field trials, "Verl" equaled or surpassed standards set by a highly productive gama grass called "Pete," released in 1988.
[USDA ARS PHOTO BY TIM SPRINGER]



Plains, but each has drawbacks that affect its usefulness.

Eastern gama grass, long recognized as a productive and palatable forage grass, is limited by low seed production, inferior seed quality, and establishment and persistence difficulties. "But its ability to grow on a range of soils and tolerate drought and flooding has long made it an integral part of our research," Springer says. "We love its potential for increased forage production in summer."

"It's particularly useful on sites along streams and creeks that are difficult to farm but have access to water that permits this species to grow rapidly," Sims adds.

Verl and Chet

In 2005, the Woodward station released an important new eastern gama grass called "Verl."

"It's the first gama grass released that was selected from a hybrid breeding program," Springer says. "It has a known pedigree. That's a first." He explains that past gama grass varieties have been developed from wild populations.

In field trials, Verl equaled or surpassed standards set by a highly productive gama grass called "Pete," released in 1988. "In testing in Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Mississippi, Florida and New York, Verl's forage dry matter (DM) yield was an average of 11% greater than Pete's," Springer says. "Also, in an experiment at Woodward, it produced 45% more seed than Pete."

Verl was released in cooperation with the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station (OAES) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

The work on eastern gama grass has paid off on the molecular front as well. Goldman has developed a way to use high-throughput DNA extraction and allele-specific polymerase chain reaction

primers to quickly and efficiently screen for a potentially useful seed-increasing trait. He and colleagues designed specific primers that detect a key mutation that can help increase seed production ten- to twenty-fold, compared to the common wild-type gama grass.

Springer, meanwhile, was a driving force behind a new sand bluestem variety

(Continued on page 132)



Texas and hybrid bluegrasses grown in replicated small plots at Woodward were evaluated for vigor, persistence, disease resistance and forage/turf traits. [USDA ARS PHOTO BY JASON GOLDMAN]



Thriving in the South *(from page 131)*

called “Chet,” which was also released in cooperation with OAES and NRCS.

Sand bluestem is lauded for its forage palatability, high yield and positive ecological impact. Its rhizomes make it tolerant to reasonably heavy grazing.

Springer says Chet’s forage DM yield was almost 9% greater than that of a key

sand bluestem variety called “Woodward,” developed there during the 1950s. “And it produces growth of about 2.5 pounds (lb.) a day on stocker cattle over a 62-day grazing period.”

A bluegrass revolution?

Goldman and Sims are looking to

create useful hybrids for the Southern Plains by crossbreeding Texas bluegrass with other bluegrass species and with bluegrasses from other regions.

“Texas bluegrass has withstood the region’s heat and droughts for centuries, but the seed is difficult to harvest and plant, and it’s susceptible to diseases such as

leaf rust,” Goldman says. “Our work can result in novel forage or in low-input, turf-type material.”

Sims explains that a perennial, cool-season forage grass would be an economical, environmentally friendly and sustainable alternative to using wheat as cool-season forage.

Goldman has established an evaluation nursery using genetic material from Texas, Canadian (*P. compressa*), Kentucky (*P. pratensis*), Argentine (*P. ligularis*) and Sandberg (*P. secunda*) bluegrasses.

Though their work has not yet led to the release of a new variety, Sims and Goldman have produced more than 80 hybrid types, many of which are complex hybrids involving three different species.

In addition, they’re intrigued by crosses developed by the late Woodward scientist Chet Dewald using Texas and Argentine bluegrasses. “Both species are native to arid environments and are highly palatable to herbivores,” Sims says. “And both come from similar latitudes within their hemispheres.”

Two of these crosses produced more than 200 seeds each. “And seeds harvested from the hybrids lost the undesirable cottony characteristic of Texas bluegrass seeds,” Goldman says.

He adds the cross-species forage hybrids he’s examining also have potential for use as turf.

“The Argentine variety has a fine-leaf trait. Kentucky bluegrass has the color and density desired for turf, the Canadian type is more of a turf type to begin with, and Texas bluegrass can contribute heat and drought tolerance to turf and has a wider geographic range of use.”



Editor’s Note: *Luis Pons was formerly with ARS. This research is part of Rangeland, Pasture and Forages, an ARS national program (#205) described at www.nps.ars.usda.gov. Phillip Sims (now retired), Jason Goldman and Tim Springer are with the Southern Plains Range Research Station, 2000 18th St., Woodward, OK 73801; phone 580-256-7449; fax 580-256-1322. “In Search for Better Forage Grasses for the Southern Plains” was published in the January 2008 issue of Agricultural Research magazine.*



Texas bluegrass seed is harvested at Woodward, Okla., for further evaluation and establishment studies. [USDA ARS PHOTO BY JASON GOLDMAN]